

Re: Yet another Attempt at Disproving the Halting Problem

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-08/1358.html>

From: >parr\(*> (gniKyrual_at_tenretnitb.moc)

Date: 08/09/04

Date: Mon, 9 Aug 2004 21:27:02 +0000 (UTC)

"Peter Olcott" <olcott@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:dLWPc.381367\$Gx4.219217@bgtnc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

|

| "Eray Ozkural exa" <erayo@bilkent.edu.tr> wrote in message
news:fa69ae35.0408030811.ef493b0@posting.google.com...

| > Peter, you really should have a look at my post below. Do you
know

| > when argument from authority can be valid?

|

| Within deduction it is never valid.

| Only deduction can guarantee that it always provides correct
results. It is

| considered to be valid inductive inference, yet inductive inference
can not

| guarantee correct results. Deduction can not err, induction can
err.

Peter, part of me wants to suggest you do a basic maths course and
learn about proof by induction.

However, what you posted above is off topic (that means it has
nothing to do with Turing's proof (by diagonalisation) of his Halting
Problem conclusion).

Please get on topic.

Please, at the very least, make a start and go discover the title of
the Turing paper which you claim is in error.

--

)>===ss\$\$%PARR(°> Parr