

Re: Yet another Attempt at Disproving the Halting Problem

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-08/2035.html>

From: >parr(*> (gniKyruaL_at_tenretnitb.moc)

Date: 08/15/04

Date: Sun, 15 Aug 2004 19:42:35 +0000 (UTC)

"Peter Olcott" <olcott@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:zfKTc.210977\$OB3.45748@bgtnc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

| ">parr(*>" <gniKyruaL@tenretnitb.moc> wrote in message
news:cfm54d\$ijh\$1@titan.btinternet.com...

| > "Daryl McCullough" <daryl@atc-nycorp.com> wrote in message
| > news:cflvbj0a3v@drn.newsguy.com...

| > | However, an actual program together with floppy disks is Turing
| > | complete: whenever the program runs out of space it just asks
| > | the user to please enter the next floppy disk. (Or "please
enter

| > | the previous floppy disk"). If the user is kindly keeping track
| > | of the order of the floppies, that makes an actual program into
| > | a kind of Turing machine in which one "square of the tape" is
| > | an entire floppy disk, and instead of just two symbols 1 and 0,
| > | it has $10^{10^{10}}$ symbols (however many possible floppy disk
states

| > | there are).

| >

| > Theory vs practice. Always fun.

| >

| > BTW, I'm surprise you're still trying to 'help' Peter. If he's
not a

| > Troll, he's totally out of his depth an is unlikely ever to
realise

| > how poor his analytic abilities are.

|

| and you are stupid ass.

Call me stupid if you like, but ass? Juvenile salmon would be more
appropriate. Or perhaps you were mimicking Lord Charles and meant
'stupid arse'.

Actually calling me a stupid kettle of fish might be the most
appropriate rebuke given your own level of ability in your chosen
subject.

sci.logic: Re: Yet another Attempt at Disproving the Halting Problem

Have you wondered why a juvenile salmon was attracted to your inanities? It's quite simple really. If you go atrolling, you catch fish. The trouble is that some fish have big teeth such as enchodus the saber-toothed salmon:

<http://www.paleodirect.com/mv5.htm>

And now back to your own silliness in:

<http://www.halting-problem.com/>

Your first problem is in the very first sentence:

"Alan Turing conclusively proved is that it is impossible to construct a halt analyzer that always returns a correct result back to the program being analyzed."

He did not. He did not use 'returns a correct result' in his proof. He did not talk about programs (nor programmes as would have been the term used in Britain about 15 years later). And he most certainly and definitely did not have anything like 'returning a result back to the program being analysed'.

Read again what you wrote. I'll paraphrase. You assert that in his proof, the program being analysed calls the halt analyser.

Let me now repeat what he actually wrote:

At the top of page 231:

"In particular, it is shown (§11) that the Hilbertian Entscheidungsproblem can have no solution."

Can you see any difference between what Turing wrote, and what you wrote?

If you can, it's high time you started to use the correct terminology for your chosen field.

If you can't, then you are welcome to be the stupid pot to my stupid kettle.

If neither, you are a Troll.

--

)>==ss\$\$%PARR(°> Parr