

Re: Yet another Attempt at Disproving the Halting Problem

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-08/2146.html>

From: Peter Olcott (olcott_at_worldnet.att.net)

Date: 08/16/04

Date: Mon, 16 Aug 2004 23:31:40 GMT

I am not British in this country you are an ass.
If you want to be an arse in your own country
you are welcome to it. The only thing that I can
figure is that the people that tend towards mean
nastiness must have something fundamentally wrong
with their lives.

">parr(*>" <gniKyruaL@tenretnitb.moc> wrote in message news:cfoeba\$qt6\$1@titan.btinternet.com...
> "Peter Olcott" <olcott@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:zfKTc.210977\$OB3.45748@bgtmsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> / ">parr(*>" <gniKyruaL@tenretnitb.moc> wrote in message
> news:cfm54d\$ijh\$1@titan.btinternet.com...
> / > "Daryl McCullough" <daryl@atc-nycorp.com> wrote in message
> / > news:cflvbj0a3v@drn.newsguy.com...
> / > / However, an actual program together with floppy disks is Turing
> / > / complete: whenever the program runs out of space it just asks
> / > / the user to please enter the next floppy disk. (Or "please
> / > / enter
> / > / the previous floppy disk"). If the user is kindly keeping track
> / > / of the order of the floppies, that makes an actual program into
> / > / a kind of Turing machine in which one "square of the tape" is
> / > / an entire floppy disk, and instead of just two symbols 1 and 0,
> / > / it has $10^{10^{10}}$ symbols (however many possible floppy disk
> / > / states
> / > / there are).
> / >
> / > Theory vs practice. Always fun.
> / >
> / > BTW, I'm surprise you're still trying to 'help' Peter. If he's
> / > not a
> / > Troll, he's totally out of his depth an is unlikely ever to
> / > realise
> / > how poor his analytic abilities are.
> /
> / and you are stupid ass.
>

sci.logic: Re: Yet another Attempt at Disproving the Halting Problem

- > *Call me stupid if you like, but ass? Juvenile salmon would be more*
- > *appropriate. Or perhaps you were mimicking Lord Charles and meant*
- > *'stupid arse'.*
- >
- > *Actually calling me a stupid kettle of fish might be the most*
- > *appropriate rebuke given your own level of ability in your chosen*
- > *subject.*
- >
- > *Have you wondered why a juvenile salmon was attracted to your*
- > *inaneities? It's quite simple really. If you go atrolling, you catch*
- > *fish. The trouble is that some fish have big teeth such as enchodus*
- > *the saber-toothed salmon:*
- > <http://www.paleodirect.com/mv5.htm>
- >
- > *And now back to your own silliness in:*
- > <http://www.halting-problem.com/>
- >
- > *Your first problem is in the very first sentence:*
- >
- > *"Alan Turing conclusively proved is that it is*
- > *impossible to construct a halt analyzer that always*
- > *returns a correct result back to the program being*
- > *analyzed."*
- >
- > *He did not. He did not use 'returns a correct result' in his proof.*
- > *He did not talk about programs (nor programmes as would have been the*
- > *term used in Britain about 15 years later). And he most certainly*
- > *and definitely did not have anything like 'returning a result back to*
- > *the program being analysed'.*
- >
- > *Read again what you wrote. I'll paraphrase. You assert that in his*
- > *proof, the program being analysed calls the halt analyser.*
- >
- > *Let me now repeat what he actually wrote:*
- > *At the top of page 231:*
- >
- > *"In particular, it is shown (§11) that the*
- > *Hilbertian Entscheidungsproblem can have no*
- > *solution."*
- >
- > *Can you see any difference between what Turing wrote, and what you*
- > *wrote?*
- >
- > *If you can, it's high time you started to use the correct terminology*
- > *for your chosen field.*
- >
- > *If you can't, then you are welcome to be the stupid pot to my stupid*
- > *kettle.*
- >
- > *If neither, you are a Troll.*
- >

> --
>)>==ss\$\$%PARR(°> Parr
>
>
>