

Re: What is the Result from Invoking this Halt Function?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-08/2758.html>

From: Kent Paul Dolan (*xanthian_at_well.com*)

Date: 08/22/04

Date: Sun, 22 Aug 2004 08:17:23 +0000 (UTC)

">parr\(*>" <LauryKing@BTInternetnospam.com> wrote:
> "David C. Ullrich" <ullrich@math.okstate.edu> wrote:
>> *hgtohybtml@mailinator.com (Student) | wrote:*

[about:]

>>> "Peter Olcott" <olcott@worldnet.att.net>

>>> *You have to be a troll. There is no way that
>>> anybody can be this stupid.*

You really need to read Peter's entire output over six or more years on Usenet before jumping to such a conclusion prematurely. "Being Peter Olcott" is at least one situation that makes the feat possible.

>> *you may be right. but you're clearly new here –
>> hang out on the internet for a while and you'll
>> learn that there's simply no such thing as 'so
>> stupid nobody could believe it'*

Or perhaps:

Usenet tests the absolute limits of human stupidity, and fails to encounter any.

> *Which reminds me of:*

> *The difference between genius and stupidity is
> that genius has its limits. [Einstein]*

> *But being even-handed, I have to provide Peter
> with:*

> *Great Spirits have always encountered violent
> opposition from mediocre minds. [Einstein]*

sci.logic: Re: What is the Result from Invoking this Halt Function?

Well, if we're starting a game of dueling quotes
pertinent to the Peter Olcott infestation:

When you argue with a fool, chances are he is doing
just the same.

— Mati Meron <meron@cars.uchicago.edu>

A fool better left unnamed droned:
A: The wise man is mocked by fools.

xanthian, responding in a 2001/04/25 posting:

Unfortunately, so is the fool mocked by
wise men, and the text of the mockery
is often identical, for neither do
fools have use of originality to mock
the wise, nor yet do the wise waste
originality mocking fools.

xanthian.

--

Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - <http://www.Mailgate.ORG>