

## Re: Can a regular Turing Machine provide Protected Memory?

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-08/3509.html>

---

**From:** David C. Ullrich ([ullrich\\_at\\_math.okstate.edu](mailto:ullrich_at_math.okstate.edu))

**Date:** 08/28/04

Date: Sat, 28 Aug 2004 06:37:53 -0500

On 28 Aug 2004 10:47:48 GMT, [mtx014@linux.services.coventry.ac.uk](mailto:mtx014@linux.services.coventry.ac.uk)  
(Robert Low) wrote:

>  
>David C. Ullrich <[ullrich@math.okstate.edu](mailto:ullrich@math.okstate.edu)> wrote:  
>>(Robert Low) wrote:  
>>>You have no idea what 'a forteriori' means, do you?  
>>  
>>uh, to be fair i don't either. if you'd said 'a fortiori'  
>>i'd understand what -that-0 meant...  
>  
>Oh, bugger. My mistake. I'm sure I've been saying  
>and writing 'a forteriori' for a long time, too.  
>Must have somehow polluted it with 'a posteriori'.

ah. seems to be a common misspelling, based on the number of hits in a google search. i couldn't figure out where people got it from - 'a posteriori' must be the reason.

\*\*\*\*\*

David C. Ullrich

sorry about the inelegant formatting - typing one-handed for a few weeks...