

Re: [PO] Re: Can a regular Turing Machine provide Protected Memory?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-08/3724.html>

From: Peter Olcott (olcott_at_worldnet.att.net)

Date: 08/30/04

Date: Mon, 30 Aug 2004 11:57:28 GMT

"Simon G Best" <s.g.best@btopenworld.com> wrote in message
news:4133071C.1000003@btopenworld.com...

> *Peter Olcott wrote:*

>> *"Simon G Best" <s.g.best@btopenworld.com> wrote in message
news:41325694.3060409@btopenworld.com...*

>>

>>> *Peter Olcott wrote:*

> [...]

>

> *Less than two days ago, I wrote (in another thread):*

>

>> *If you actually read the replies to your posts properly, /all/ the way
>> through, you'd have already realised that this has been pointed out to
>> you and explained to you many, /many/, *many* times.*

>

> *And then you replied:*

>

>> *I do read them all the way through, at least in your case, and Daryl's
>> case, ever since you two correctly refuted me at least once each.*

>

> *But then, in this thread, you wrote:*

>

>>> [...]
>>> *Since there is a very good chance that
>>> this eliminates the rest of your material, I will not read it
>>> in this case. [...]*

>>>

>>> *But without reading it, you don't know.*

>>>

>>> *Your decision not to read our responses to your posts does not mean that
>>> we aren't refuting and debunking your claims. It does, however, mean
>>> that you're repeatedly ignoring the many refutations and debunkings of
>>> your claims.*

>>>

>>> *I'll respond to the rest of your response once you've actually read
>>> through what you're responding to.*

sci.logic: Re: [PO] Re: Can a regular Turing Machine provide Protected Memory?

>

> *Obviously, when you say "I do read them all the way through", it doesn't mean that you do read them all the way through. Instead, it means you'll carry on assuming things about things you haven't even bothered to read.*

>

> *And now you're saying:*

>

> > *I am giving news_to_me@comcast.net (underscores added for emphasis) my highest priority because he claims to be a PhD computer science professor. His last posting to me was intended to form the basis for refuting any combinations of all of my methods. We selected one of the methods to test his basis on this method.*

>

> *You say that, but you also said, "I do read them all the way through, at least in your case". That quickly turned out not to be true. What you say just can't be relied upon.*

>

> *Simon*

>

No I just changed my mind with respect to that one posting.