

Re: Atheists dying

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-09/0317.html>

From: Rich Shull (rjschull_at_aol.com)

Date: 09/03/04

Date: 2 Sep 2004 20:48:11 -0700

"Karl Johanson" <karljohanson@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:<zFIZc.289458\$M95.114638@pd7tw1no>...
> "Pastor Dave" <pastordave38@nospam-yahoo.com> wrote in message > >> >> >
> >>> >> > that's true. We're all born atheists.
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> > Nope. No society is naturally atheist.
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> > Think. We're all born without believing anything. We have to be
> taught
> to
> >>> >> > believe in Santa, or the tooth fairy, or whatever.
> >>> >>
> >>> >> > But not God. The creation tells us He exists.
> >>> >
> >>> > There is no evidence of a creation, just evidence that some stuff
> exists.
> >>>
> >>> > Interesting claim, since the Big Bang Theory states
> >>> that nothing exploded and here we are.
> >>
> > > Ah, so you've only read strawman versions of the Big Bang hypothesis
> > then.
> >>
> > > The 'Big Bang' hypothesis is about expansion of space. It's a hypothesis
> > > which is intended to account for the observation that most of the
> > galaxies
> > > being red shifted, and them tending to be more red shifted the further
> > away
> > > they are. It doesn't talk about where matter, energy, space & time &
> > space
> > > came from, whether they existed before the big bang or not, nor about
> > > whether 'before the Big Bang' has any meaning.
> >>
> > > Nice try. You need to do your homework. It is indeed
> > > believed that the universe came from a state of
> > > nothingness, via the Big Bang. Just Google it and
> > > you'll get all the hits you need. Use "Big Bang",

sci.logic: Re: Atheists dying

> > *along with the phrase, "state of nothingness".*
>
> *I'm sure you might find some who 'believe' that. Belief doesn't enter into*
> *it in scientific discussions though. The 'Big Bang' is a hypothesis intended*
> *to account for the red shift evidence that most of the galaxies are*
> *expanding & that the farther away they are from each other the faster*
> *they're moving. Some conjecture what happened before the big bang, but*
> *that's just conjecture.*
>
> > > *But of course,*
> > > *go ahead and tell me how I believe in a fairy tale.*
> > >
> > > *You belief is no more silly than thinking that Batman created the*
> *universe.*
> > >
> > > *Something obviously happened to exist. That something might have been a*
> > > *random universe with some tendencies, or it might have been an infinitely*
> > > *intelligent, infinitely powerful being capable of creating everything*
> *else.*
> > > *Now which of the two would be infinitely less likely than the other...*
> > >
> > *Might have been a random universe? Do you read what*
> > *you write? You'll believe in any possibility, that you*
> > *think answers the question, but doesn't.*
> >
> *I have an idea. Just a suggestion really. Why not try thinking more before*
> *you answer. You're call.*
> >
> *You haven't*
> > *answered the problem. You've simply shifted it to*
> > *another location. Where did this random universe come*
> > *from?*
> >
> *Clearly some things exist. If they have to have originally 'come from*
> *somewhere' then that's turtles all the way down. Clearly, something has to*
> *happen to have existed, as there's some stuff in existence. Some think the*
> *stuff that happened to exist is a universe (possibly a great deal larger*
> *than we've caught a glimpse of thus far), while some think it's an*
> *infinitely complex infinitely intelligent, infinitely powerful being capable*
> *of creating everything else. One is infinitely more complex & thus*
> *infinitely less likely to have happened to exist than the other.*
> >
> *Karl Johanson*

Dear Reader's

I will jump in this age old debate and say this, I will worship ANYONES god the day he ,she or it is powerful enough to gets it message heard around the world Instantly. Some religions are 2000 years old now and they are still tring to get their message out. Too bad there were no Fax Machines or Newspapers or the Jewish population

Re: Atheists dying

sci.logic: Re: Atheists dying

might have heard the good news. Perhaps our Moslem and Hindu friends might have had something in common with us? Basically what I'm saying is If (general figures-) 1/3 of the world is hindu and another 1/3 is Moslem and the remainig 1/3 is christan and the divding lines are mostly acient geography :So one's god needs a bigger megaphone or perhaps that cell phone? If you just created the world it should not have been so big that you could not communicate with it. It would seem those moutians were a bit too high.

WHY? are there many populations that worship the sun? They are spread out all around the globe and seemed to have a common experience (watching the Sun) and developed common worship ideals ignorant of one another. A common experience =a common god. That is pretty impressive ignorance. If AllAh, or Rod Parsley were the one the annointed one I would expect them to be able to heard in every way possible and be just as obvious , everyone on earth (with respect to the blind) should be able to see god like they see the sun and the moon.

It is interesting to note Gay, Lesbian, And Transgendered and bi sexual populations also are all over the globe and share the same Rainbow flag despite their many religions and cultures. Shouldn't god be able to unite the people as easily as this ? They say the Coke Logo is the most known item on earth, perhaps religions need a new Add Agency or a product worth "buying".

Rich