

Re: and who made god?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-10/1312.html>

From: X's Lover (*together_at_hell.com*)

Date: 10/29/04

Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2004 23:10:24 -0400

In article <b2f196ff.0410280536.6220faed@posting.google.com>, ron@shell.core.com (Ron Peterson) wrote:

> X's Lover <together@hell.com> wrote in message
> news:<together-EA6D38.23022327102004@news.isp.giganews.com>...
> > In article <f301b05.0410271843.3d86910c@posting.google.com>,
> > redafroecclipse@aol.com (King John II the Good) wrote:
> >
> > > The theist argument that god is the first cause is demolished by the
> > > question, and who made god.
>
> > The formulation of this question is very odd. Why would anyone
> > hypothesize that a "who" created a god rather than a "what"?
>
> Someone had to invent god. Humans aren't in contact with any other
> creature that would be capable of inventing god.

This is just as speculative as the claim that god did exist. We don't know how religion began, where it began or who told the first story. to argue such a thing without any evidence is the same problem as arguing the existence of the god concept itself.

> Isn't it reasonable that some story teller invented god to make for
> interesting stories?

Same difficulty. What is the what that caused the who to tell such stories? This is more than a myth. This is an "ideal" that people take vows of poverty for, that has spread over the entire species and that people willingly sacrifice their lives for — this is more than just an urban myth.