

Re: ANSWER THE FCKING QUESTION GHOST

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-01/0734.html>

From: David C. Ullrich (ullrich_at_math.okstate.edu)

Date: 01/11/05

Date: Tue, 11 Jan 2005 05:22:39 -0600

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 15:17:54 +1000, "|-|erc" <h@r.c> wrote:

>
>>
>> *Neither follows from my answer, which involves all finite prefixes only.*
>> *While all digits do appear (given any digit, it has a finite index),*
>> *the number does not.*
>>
>> *It's the same problem as your favorite sequence*
>>
>> <.3>
>> <.33>
>> <.333>
>> <.3333>
>> <.33333>
>> ...
>>
>> *which has all digits of 1/3. Does it contain 1/3? No.*
>>
>> *Your logic does not work.*
>>
>
>
>
>**FUCK YOU ARE STUPID.**
>
>
>
>*you say ALL THE DIGITS OF PI APPEAR IN THE LIST.*
>
>**THEN YOU SAY**
>
>*only a finite number of them do.*
>
>
>
>
>
>**YOU CANT COMPREHEND WHAT I AM DEMOING**
>

sci.logic: Re: ANSWER THE FCKING QUESTION GHOST

>*SO JUST IGNORE ALL MY POSTS*

>

>*SEE IF SOMEONE ELSE CAN ACTUALLY REASON.*

>

>

>

>*Anyone else comment on those 2 statements.*

Two comments. (i) He's right and you're wrong (in particular he's right when he says that what you say follows from what he said actually doesn't follow).

(ii) Saying "SO JUST IGNORE ALL MY POSTS" in a thread titled "ANSWER THE FCKING QUESTION GHOST" is kind of funny.

>*Herc*

>

>

>

David C. Ullrich