

Re: ANSWER THE FCKING QUESTION GHOST

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-01/0735.html>

From: |-|erc (*h_at_r.c*)

Date: 01/11/05

Date: Tue, 11 Jan 2005 22:07:38 +1000

-----s-o-s-----

"David C. Ullrich" <ullrich@math.okstate.edu> wrote in message
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 15:17:54 +1000, "|-|erc" <h@r.c> wrote:
>
>>
>>> Neither follows from my answer, which involves all finite prefixes only.
>>> While all digits do appear (given any digit, it has a finite index),
>>> the number does not.
>>>

>> Anyone else comment on those 2 statements.
>
> Two comments. (i) He's right and you're wrong (in particular he's right when he says that what you say follows from what he said actually doesn't follow).
>
> (ii) Saying "SO JUST IGNORE ALL MY POSTS" in a thread titled "ANSWER THE FCKING QUESTION GHOST" is kind of funny.
>
>> Herc
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> *****
>
> David C. Ullrich

Nice try!! God's don't make mistakes David I keep telling you. Remember the other 5 times you've confronted me became the 5 biggest laughing stock moments of your life? Remember how you said irrationals are perfect and rationals are real life approximations? And some guy named YOU-WRONG or some meaning alias laughed his head off at you? It seems a few hundred digits was close enough for Planck and crew after all.

sci.logic: Re: ANSWER THE FCKING QUESTION GHOST

What's it leading up to Dave? Why do you suffer the supernatural fault so precicely?

1

EVERY DIGIT OF THE ENTIRE SEQUENCE TO INFINITELY MANY DIGITS

APPEARS IN ORDER#, IN POSITION

SOMEWHERE IN THE SET

What are you talking about here when you rebuke this statement after confirming

ALL digits OF PI appear IN ORDER?

Remember ORDER# is a property of one digit.

Herc