

## Re: What evidence is there for a continuous space-time?

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-02/0653.html>

---

**From:** Franz Heymann (*notfranz.heyman\_at\_btopenworld.com*)

**Date:** 02/07/05

Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2005 11:30:15 +0000 (UTC)

<zzbunker@netscape.net> wrote in message  
news:1107754448.168913.317370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

[snip]

- > *Since physicists as usual have no evidence*
- > *of quantum mechanics in it's entirety of*
- > *being even close to a reality, just as*
- > *Einstein told them in 1920s.*

You appear to be unaware that the bulk of all the new technologies  
of the 20th century is based on QM

You appear to be unaware of the fact that there is not one solitary  
prediction made by using quantum mechanics which, when subjected to  
experimental observation, gave results in disagreement with the  
predictions

You appear to be unaware of the fact that some of those predictions  
are tested around 2,000,000,000 times per second in your computer.

You appear to be unaware of the fact that QM and SR are the two most  
successful theories ever dreamt up by man.

You appear to be unaware of the fact that you are one big ignoramus.

[snip]

Franz