

Re: What is wrong with this argument?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-03/1063.html>

From: Gavan (gavan1970_at_hotmail.com)

Date: 03/27/05

Date: 27 Mar 2005 15:51:30 -0800

"The great philosopher-criminologist" <bedford_park2000@yahoo.ca> wrote in message news:<1111930127.712142.61570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>...

> *kathryn wrote:*

> > *"The great philosopher-criminologist" <bedford_park2000@yahoo.ca>*

> *wrote in*

> > *message news:1111874993.415822.226320@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...*

> > > *What is wrong with saying that God works in Mysterious ways?*

> >

> >

> > *There is no god*

> > *Something that doesn't exist cannot work in anyway let alone*

> *mysteriously*

>

> *Well, this God has been credited with the success of certain people in*

> *this world.*

A comic I went to see once said "Do you ever notice that the winner of the 100mts at the Olympics always thanks god and yet you never hear any of the others blame him for losing."

If god (I refuse to capitalise that word), in his omnipotence, causes good things to happen, we therefore have to assume that he also causes the suffering inflicted upon this world and if that's the case he's been a little nasty of late hasn't he?

If I was to believe in any god, and I don't, it certainly wouldn't be a god that finds it necessary to inflict diseases such as AIDS and tuberculosis upon anyone especially those who have never committed 'sin' of any kind (I don't know how a newborn baby could commit 'sin'). Your god is a little too random for my liking.