

## Re: What is wrong with this argument?

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-03/1067.html>

---

**From:** Mike Oliver (*mike\_lists\_at\_verizon.net*)

**Date:** 03/28/05

Date: Sun, 27 Mar 2005 18:48:44 -0600

The Ghost In The Machine wrote:

- > *In sci.logic, Mike Oliver*
- > *<mike\_lists@verizon.net>*
- >> *All that shows is that (if the Christian God is*
- >> *in fact the same being as Allah) then Christians,*
- >> *or Muslims, or both, hold some false beliefs.*
- > *Of course you're the one introducing the concept of "false*
- > *belief", which is basically an analysis of 'Bxg'*

No, that's not what I was identifying as a false belief. In the hypothesized case, where the Christian God is the same being as Allah (which makes sense only if he exists), then both Christians and Muslims are correct to believe that he exists (the belief I understand as your Bxg). The conclusion is that Christians, or Muslims, or both, must hold false beliefs *\*about\** God/Allah, since their respective beliefs about him contradict one another.

- > *(or,*
- > *if you prefer, a brand new concept -- true belief versus*
- > *false belief versus just plain old belief).*

I hardly think this is my invention. You believe a proposition; you're either right or wrong; if you're right, it's a true belief; if you're wrong, it's a false one. Is there anything further to explain here?