

Re: A Simple Non-Diagonalisable List

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-05/msg00170.html>

- *From:* "HERC777" <herc777@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 15 May 2005 00:11:17 -0700
-

H> AnEcAd1d2[new & cew & d1eD & d2eD & (d1/=d2)
> -> L[n,n]=d1
> & L[c,c]=d2
> & L[n,c]=d1
> & L[c,n]=d2
>]]
> to me that says, for all digits, L[n,n] = that digit.

G>Well, yeah, I was trying to paraphrase you,
>so of course I didn't get it right.

Surely you can check your own syntax?
An, Ad, L[n,n] = d ?

Herc

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: A Simple Non-Diagonalisable List***
◇ *From:* george

- *References:*
 - ◆ ***Re: A Simple Non-Diagonalisable List***
◇ *From:* george
 - ◆ ***Re: A Simple Non-Diagonalisable List***
◇ *From:* HERC777
 - ◆ ***Re: A Simple Non-Diagonalisable List***
◇ *From:* george

- Prev by Date: ***Re: A simple undiagonalisable list – ILLUSTRATED***
- Next by Date: ***Universal logic modules vs NAND-like modules***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: A Simple Non-Diagonalisable List***
- Next by thread: ***Re: A Simple Non-Diagonalisable List***
- Index(es):

Re: A Simple Non-Diagonalisable List

- ◆ *Date*
- ◆ *Thread*