

Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-05/msg00292.html>

- From: "ken quirici" <kquirici@xxxxxxxxx>
 - Date: 23 May 2005 07:28:10 -0700
-

The Ghost In The Machine wrote:

>
> [2] All algorithms must terminate.
>

Unless of course you code an infinite loop :-)

Ken

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians**
 - ◇ From: The Ghost In The Machine
- *References:*
 - ◆ **Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians**
 - ◇ From: george
 - ◆ **Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians**
 - ◇ From: HERC777
 - ◆ **Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians**
 - ◇ From: george
 - ◆ **Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians**
 - ◇ From: HERC777
 - ◆ **Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians**
 - ◇ From: george
 - ◆ **Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians**

Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians

◇ *From: The Ghost In The Machine*

- Prev by Date: ***Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Here is a hard one, for me...***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians***
- Next by thread: ***Re: when laypersons look smarter than math professors Re: a question for the anti-Cantorians***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***