

Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-06/msg00211.html>

- *From:* Torkel Franzen <torkel@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 13 Jun 2005 18:05:05 +0200
-

"galathaea" <galathaea@xxxxxxxxx> writes:

- > he was confident
- > like hilbert
- > that the process of proof could comprehend all truth

What actual statement of Godel's do you have in mind here?

- > but in his attempts to prove this assertion

When and where did he try to prove this?

Your various comments seem to be based on your personal fantasies.

- *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog**
◇ *From:* galathaea

- *References:*

- ◆ **FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog**
◇ *From:* Tom
- ◆ **Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog**
◇ *From:* Jim Spriggs
- ◆ **Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog**
◇ *From:* Tom
- ◆ **Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog**
◇ *From:* Jim Spriggs
- ◆ **Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog**
◇ *From:* Tom
- ◆ **Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog**
◇ *From:* Aatu Koskensilta
- ◆ **Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog**
◇ *From:* galathaea
- ◆ **Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog**
◇ *From:* Tom

Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog

- Prev by Date: *Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog*
- Next by Date: *Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog*
- Previous by thread: *Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog*
- Next by thread: *Re: FOL, ZFC, NGB and Prolog*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*