

Re: primitive recursive

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-07/msg00567.html>

- *From:* Barb Knox <see@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 22 Jul 2005 12:53:16 +1200
-

In article <n3XDe.191671\$75.8294931@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, "n3wb1e" <n3wb1e@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>can someone show me an example of recursive function who is not primitive
>recursive (other than Ackermann's function)?
>Thank you.

If you know Lisp, consider the eval function.

If you know Lambda Calculus or combinatory logic, consider any of the fixed-point operators.

| BBB b \ Barbara at LivingHistory stop co stop uk
| B B aa rrr b |
| BBB a a r bbb | Quidquid latine dictum sit,
| B B a a r b b | altum viditur.
BBB aa a r bbb
.

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **[Re: primitive recursive](#)**
 - ◇ *From:* Jesse Alama
 - Prev by Date: **[Re: What isn't a tautology?](#)**
 - Next by Date: **[Re: Objections to Cantor's Theory \(Wikipedia article\)](#)**
 - Previous by thread: **[Deduction problem in first order logic](#)**
 - Next by thread: **[Re: primitive recursive](#)**
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ **[Date](#)**
 - ◆ **[Thread](#)**