

Re: "Friendly Premises"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-08/msg00078.html>

- *From:* Torkel Franzen <torkel@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 03 Aug 2005 15:06:37 +0200
-

"George Dance" <georgedance04@xxxxxxxxxx> writes:

- > Apparently; which makes the assertion in question equivalent to:
- > There is no concept of "proof" in logic.

Why would you make this bold assertion?

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [**Re: "Friendly Premises"**](#)
 - ◇ *From:* George Dance
- *References:*
 - ◆ [**Re: "Friendly Premises"**](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Jesse Alama
 - ◆ [**Re: "Friendly Premises"**](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Acme Diagnostics
 - ◆ [**Re: "Friendly Premises"**](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Martin Shobe
 - ◆ [**Re: "Friendly Premises"**](#)
 - ◇ *From:* George Dance
- Prev by Date: [**Re: "Friendly Premises"**](#)
- Next by Date: [**Re: "Friendly Premises"**](#)
- Previous by thread: [**Re: "Friendly Premises"**](#)
- Next by thread: [**Re: "Friendly Premises"**](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [**Date**](#)
 - ◆ [**Thread**](#)