

Re: "Friendly Premises"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-08/msg00079.html>

- *From:* "George Dance" <georgedance04@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 3 Aug 2005 06:19:10 -0700
-

Torkel Franzen wrote:

> "George Dance" <georgedance04@xxxxxxxx> writes:
>
>> Apparently; which makes the assertion in question equivalent to:
>> There is no concept of "proof" in logic.
>
> Why would you make this bold assertion?

Sorry for your misunderstanding; I should have made it clear that I was referring to someone else's assertion.

I hope that the following edit clears things up:

Apparently; which makes the assertion in question equivalent to:
"There is no concept of 'proof' in logic."

(Perhaps you'd see that as an example of how learning to write only confuses and bewilders my kind, causing us to make absurd assertions.)

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: "Friendly Premises"***
 - ◇ *From:* Torkel Franzen
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***Re: "Friendly Premises"***
 - ◇ *From:* Jesse Alama
 - ◆ ***Re: "Friendly Premises"***
 - ◇ *From:* Acme Diagnostics
 - ◆ ***Re: "Friendly Premises"***
 - ◇ *From:* Martin Shobe
 - ◆ ***Re: "Friendly Premises"***
 - ◇ *From:* George Dance
 - ◆ ***Re: "Friendly Premises"***
 - ◇ *From:* Torkel Franzen

Re: "Friendly Premises"

- Prev by Date: *Re: "Friendly Premises"*
- Next by Date: *Re: "Friendly Premises"*
- Previous by thread: *Re: "Friendly Premises"*
- Next by thread: *Re: "Friendly Premises"*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*