

Re: "Friendly Premises"

Re: "Friendly Premises"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-08/msg00111.html>

- *From:* "H. J. Sander Bruggink" <bruggink@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 04 Aug 2005 17:35:19 +0200
-

George Dance wrote:

The problem in your argument is the referring to; what is being referred to by what it not important. I changed "concept" into "word" because concepts do not refer to anything, but words do.

More precisely, (a) concepts "refer to" objects, (b) words "symbolize" concepts, and ergo (c) words "stand for" objects:

Ok, I see, your "concept" is similar to Frege's "Sinn", but I thought "Sinn" is usually translated as "Sense" in English.

Doesn't matter though, the problem is, as I said, the "referring to", not what refers to what. Just because two concepts refer to the same thing, doesn't mean they are both concepts from logic.

[snip]

groente

G'day to you (as we say in Canada).

I think you say "vegetables" in Canada. ;-)

groente

Re: "Friendly Premises"

Re: "Friendly Premises"

-- Sander
.