

Re: Moore on Skolem's Paradox

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-09/msg00645.html>

- *From:* Chris Menzel <cmenzel@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 26 Sep 2005 03:27:24 GMT
-

On 25 Sep 2005 09:31:01 -0700, William of Ockham

> The asterixes are there from my last posting. The predicament is NOT
> that some statement is true in one model and false in another. It is
> how we, from our point of view, can state the relativistic statement
> "S is true in one model and false in another" in a language whose
> model is the one in which S is true (S being the statement that P(w)
> is uncountable).

You seem to be getting off track here. The "paradox" has to do with the fact that S can be *true* in models that are in fact countable, not that it's true in some models and false in others.

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Moore on Skolem's Paradox***
◇ *From:* William of Ockham

- *References:*
 - ◆ ***Moore on Skolem's Paradox***
◇ *From:* William of Ockham
 - ◆ ***Re: Moore on Skolem's Paradox***
◇ *From:* David C . Ullrich
 - ◆ ***Re: Moore on Skolem's Paradox***
◇ *From:* William of Ockham
 - ◆ ***Re: Moore on Skolem's Paradox***
◇ *From:* David C . Ullrich
 - ◆ ***Re: Moore on Skolem's Paradox***
◇ *From:* William of Ockham

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Moore's account of Skolem's Paradox***
- Next by Date: ***all the good books are OOP***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Moore on Skolem's Paradox***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Moore on Skolem's Paradox***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***