

Re: Topology of proof

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-09/msg00651.html>

- *From:* "galathaea" <galathaea@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 26 Sep 2005 13:04:00 -0700
-

tom wrote:

** i have failed to obtain locally
_any of the fine reads
you kindly cared to suggest **

that is a shame
particularly "domains and lambda-calculi"
i think
would have fit in
with your impressions of mathematics
(as you have expressed them)

many academic libraries
have an interlibrary loan facility
where they will get another library to send them
books they do not have

maybe something like that may help you

i think the language of the field
is too divergent from other fields
to have google scholar and modern articles
be of much use without a good text
but it is another option

i wish you luck in your pursuits
many of your comments show
your approach is one that is studied
but unfortunately may not be familiar
with all of your teachers

that is always an awkward situation to be in
because they may discourage you from learning
this beautiful foundational work

galathaea: prankster, fablist, magician, liar

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ *Re: Topology of proof*
 - ◇ *From: Tom*

- *References:*
 - ◆ *Topology of proof*
 - ◇ *From: Tom*
 - ◆ *Re: Topology of proof*
 - ◇ *From: galathaea*

- Prev by Date: *Re: all the good books are OOP*
- Next by Date: *temporal logic Kt4*
- Previous by thread: *Re: Topology of proof*
- Next by thread: *Re: Topology of proof*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*