

# Re: Skolem Again

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-10/msg00163.html>

---

- *From:* "William of Ockham" <d3uckner@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* 5 Oct 2005 12:29:41 -0700
- 

Chris Menzel wrote:

- > On 4 Oct 2005 12:42:02 -0700, William of Ockham
- > <d3uckner@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> said:
- >> P1. L-S tells us that orthographically identical theories may have
- >> different models.
- >
- > It tells us no such thing.

Then rewrite the premisses so we agree P1 is true. Thus

- P1 One and the same theory may have different models
- P2. The same theory must have the same meaning
- P3. But the meaning of a theory depends on which model we take it to have

These together yield a contradiction. Which of the premisses is false?

- > It is really
- > unbecoming for someone as smart as you to be arguing in the classic mold
- > of a crackpot.

Which premiss is false?

.

---

- *Follow-Ups:*
  - ◆ **Re: Skolem Again**
    - ◇ *From:* george
  - ◆ **Re: Skolem Again**
    - ◇ *From:* Chris Menzel
- *References:*
  - ◆ **Skolem Again**
    - ◇ *From:* William of Ockham
  - ◆ **Re: Skolem Again**
    - ◇ *From:* Chris Menzel

Re: Skolem Again

- Prev by Date: [\*\*Re: Lowenheim–Skolem–Ockham Theorem\*\*](#)
- Next by Date: [\*\*Re: Skolem Again\*\*](#)
- Previous by thread: [\*\*Re: Skolem Again\*\*](#)
- Next by thread: [\*\*Re: Skolem Again\*\*](#)
- Index(es):
  - ◆ [\*\*Date\*\*](#)
  - ◆ [\*\*Thread\*\*](#)