

# Re: Simple yet Profound Metatheorem

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2005-12/msg00606.html>

---

- *From:* [stevendaryl3016@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:stevendaryl3016@xxxxxxxxxx) (Daryl McCullough)
  - *Date:* 29 Dec 2005 14:24:23 -0800
- 

Charlie-Boo says...

>Change "you are not interested in" to "there's nothing gained in the  
>final analysis", then the answer is: It shows that Frege is wasting his  
>time since nobody has ever come up with a wff that he produces that  
>case analysis does not.

Propositional intuitionistic logic is a \*refinement\* of case analysis. It's not supposed to prove more wffs, it is supposed to prove \*fewer\* wffs, and it succeeds.

—

Daryl McCullough  
Ithaca, NY

---

• **References:**

- ◆ ***Simple yet Profound Metatheorem***
    - ◇ *From:* Charlie-Boo
  - ◆ ***Re: Simple yet Profound Metatheorem***
    - ◇ *From:* Charlie-Boo
- 
- Prev by Date: ***Re: ZFC means?***
  - Next by Date: ***decidability results for relational logic***
  - Previous by thread: ***Re: Simple yet Profound Metatheorem***
  - Next by thread: ***Re: Simple yet Profound Metatheorem***
  - Index(es):
    - ◆ ***Date***
    - ◆ ***Thread***