

Re: Poetential infinity

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2006-01/msg00312.html>

- *From:* "Charlie-Boo" <chvol@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 23 Jan 2006 21:01:27 -0800
-

Bill Taylor wrote:

- > There was once a lot of debate, and still occasionally is,
- > about the difference between "actual infinity" and "potential
- > infinity".
- >
- > It always struck me that, whatever you thought about them,
- > at least the definition of actual inf was reasonably clear,
- > but that the same couldn't really be said of potential inf.

Please make this self-contained (for us uneducated and lazy folks.)

C-B

- > So, it occurred to me that a better name for "potential infinity"
- > would be "unboundedly finite".
- >
- > Would this cover the case, do y'all think?
- >
- > -----
- > Bill Taylor W.Taylor@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
- > -----
- > The known is finite, the unknown is infinite.
- > We stand on an islet of comprehension
- > in a limitless ocean of inexplicability.
- > Our business is to reclaim a little more land.
- > -----

.

• *References:*

◆ *Poetential infinity*

◇ *From:* Bill Taylor

- Prev by Date: *j:V --> V*
- Next by Date: *Re: Recursion*
- Previous by thread: *Poetential infinity*
- Next by thread: *Re: Poetential infinity*

Re: Poetential infinity

- Index(es):

- ◆ *Date*

- ◆ *Thread*