

Re: A question about FOL theories and models

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2006-08/msg00884.html>

- *From:* Aatu Koskensilta <aatu.koskensilta@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 22 Aug 2006 01:05:41 +0300
-

Nam Nguyen wrote:

Consider, for example, the possible situation in which \sim GC is arithmetically (interpreted to be) true, while GC is **also provable** in Shoenfield's 'N' formal system.

That situation is "possible" only in the sense it's "possible" we're mistaken about pretty much anything, being in reality delusional disembodied brains in vats.

--

Aatu Koskensilta (aatu.koskensilta@xxxxxxxx)

"Wovon man nicht sprechen kann, darüber muss man schweigen"
– Ludwig Wittgenstein, Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus

.