

# Re: My investigations into Godels Incompleteness Theorem

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2006-10/msg00183.html>

---

- *From:* [stevendaryl3016@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:stevendaryl3016@xxxxxxxxxx) (Daryl McCullough)
  - *Date:* 29 Sep 2006 15:36:49 -0700
- 

John Jones says...

When you make a string you lose the sense of the of the sentence and merely address individual signs. What you are claiming is that the meaning of a sentence is exhibited in its string, which is simply not true.

I did not say that. What I said was

For any sentence  $S$  in the language of arithmetic,  
if the string corresponding to  $S$  has property  $P$ ,  
then  $S$  is a theorem.

Do you agree, or not? If not, give me a counterexample.

--

Daryl McCullough  
Ithaca, NY

.