

# Re: Indefinite Extensibility and Computationalism

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2007-07/msg00038.html>

---

- *From:* MoeBlee <jazzmobe@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Mon, 02 Jul 2007 12:02:34 -0700
- 

On Jul 2, 10:34 am, "Nam D. Nguyen" <namducngu...@xxxxxxx> wrote:

Daryl McCullough wrote:

Nam D. Nguyen says...

MoeBlee wrote:

On Jun 29, 6:49 am, "Nam D. Nguyen"  
<namducngu...@xxxxxxx> wrote:

MoeBlee wrote:

On Jun 28,  
8:42 pm,  
"Nam D.  
Nguyen"  
<namducngu...@xxxxxxx>  
wrote:

How  
do  
you  
know  
that  
if  
~GC  
is  
not  
true,  
GC  
would  
be  
true?

If ~GC is  
not true,  
then GC is  
true. That

## Re: Indefinite Extensibility and Computationalism

follows  
from the  
definition  
of a truth  
function per  
a model.

"A model" of what  
arithmetic formal  
axiom-system? Until you  
could answer  
this question, the discussion  
is pointless.

And here we go again...trying to get you to  
understand that a model  
for a language does not require first  
specifying a theory in that  
language.