

Re: all the incompleteness proofs are worthless untill...

Re: all the incompleteness proofs are worthless untill...

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2008-03/msg01519.html>

- *From:* "elsiemelsi" <cyprinsam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 21 Mar 2008 04:49:02 -0500
-

you say

False for two reasons:

- (1) Any claim about the "invalidity" of PM, even if we accept it, does not affect the interest of the result.
- (2) The result applies to many systems other than PM

i say

just as you said a prof using $1+1=\pi$ would be trivial
so is godels proof using invalid axioms and rejected systems

if the result apply to other systems

so what

what godel did was rubbish as everything he uses was rejected as invalid

Message posted using <http://www.talkaboutscience.com/group/sci.logic/>
More information at <http://www.talkaboutscience.com/faq.html>

.