

Re: Godel proved maths inconsistent not incompleteness theorem

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2008-03/msg02046.html>

- *From:* William Hale <hale@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 27 Mar 2008 21:59:56 -0500
-

In article

<b6a60a2d-8427-4c0d-b82d-a43287ba6e4f@xx>,

Charlie-Boo <shymathguy@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

[cut]

Show me a proof using only ZFC axioms and you will get credit for it.

If the theorems in real analysis are not proved by just using the ZFC axioms, the logic axioms, rules of deductions, previous theorems, and previous definitions, what do you think are the additional axioms that are being used in those proofs?

.