

Re: Godel proved maths inconsistent not incompleteness theorem

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2008-03/msg02128.html>

- *From:* Aatu Koskensilta <aatu.koskensilta@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 28 Mar 2008 22:51:44 GMT
-

On 2008-03-28, in sci.logic, Charlie-Boo wrote:

I can think of a couple of approaches to formalizing Godel's 2nd Incompleteness Theorem (in PA), and will see if they apply to Q.

The usual proofs of the second incompleteness theorems are of no use in showing that "Robinson arithmetic is consistent" is not provable in Robinson arithmetic.

--

Aatu Koskensilta (aatu.koskensilta@xxxxxxxxx)

"Wovon man nicht sprechen kann, darüber muss man schweigen"
– Ludwig Wittgenstein, Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus

.