

Re: Confusion about gödels proof for con(ZF+CH)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2008-06/msg00179.html>

- *From:* Bjarne <no@xxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 10 Jun 2008 14:54:10 +0200
-

It's not a difficult exercise to show you can derive each from the other.

Thanks, but I'm interested in what Gödel did? How did he use the assumption that "ZF is consistent". I first thought that he used it to claim the existence of some model and then constructed L as a restriction of this model. But I might be wrong here?

.