

Re: A summary of my position so far.

Re: A summary of my position so far.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2008-12/msg00854.html>

- *From:* David C. Ullrich <dullrich@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 19 Dec 2008 05:41:02 -0600
-

On Thu, 18 Dec 2008 06:51:34 -0800 (PST), Mitch Harris <maharri@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Dec 18, 7:45 am, David C. Ullrich <dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Thu, 18 Dec 2008 00:08:24 +0000, John Jones <jonescard...@xxxxxxx> wrote:

I have indicated in previous posts that sequence or calculus or whether these regarding the former substantive would seem to find themselves and their applications must manifest the number 2 application of an included in the list proportioned as a set, odd/even, etc. In the creation of a set no new like the numbers in another set without also.

Excellent summary, thanks.

That almost worked. How did you -do- that?

??? I just quoted what he wrote. Of course I snipped a few irrelevant words here and there...

Mitch

David C. Ullrich

"Understanding Godel isn't about following his formal proof. That would make a mockery of everything Godel was up to." (John Jones, "My talk about Godel to the post-grads.")

Re: A summary of my position so far.

Re: A summary of my position so far.

in sci.logic.)

.