

Re: A summary of my position so far.

## Re: A summary of my position so far.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2008-12/msg00856.html>

---

- *From:* Mitch Harris <[maharri@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:maharri@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 19 Dec 2008 06:01:42 -0800 (PST)
- 

On Dec 19, 6:41 am, David C. Ullrich <[dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Thu, 18 Dec 2008 06:51:34 -0800 (PST), Mitch Harris

<[maha...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:maha...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Dec 18, 7:45 am, David C. Ullrich <[dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Thu, 18 Dec 2008 00:08:24 +0000, John Jones  
<[jonescard...@xxxxxxx](mailto:jonescard...@xxxxxxx)>  
wrote:

I have indicated in previous posts that  
sequence or calculus or whether  
these regarding the former substantive would  
seem to find themselves  
and their applications must manifest the  
number 2 application of an  
included in the list proportioned as a set,  
odd/even,  
etc. In the creation of a set no new like the  
numbers in another set without also.

Excellent summary, thanks.

That almost worked. How did you -do- that?

??? I just quoted what he wrote. Of course I snipped a few irrelevant  
words here and there...

I thought it might have been an automatic text scrambler that somehow

Re: A summary of my position so far.

Re: A summary of my position so far.

preserves grammar (or lack thereof) or something like dissociated–  
press.

Selective snipping is rather manipulative.

I think verbatim quotes are enough to show what you want to show; your  
last sentence stood out in its uncharacteristic unparsability.

Mitch

.