

Re: A summary of my position so far.

## Re: A summary of my position so far.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2008-12/msg00933.html>

---

- *From:* Mitch Harris <[maharri@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:maharri@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 20 Dec 2008 19:22:02 -0800 (PST)
- 

On Dec 20, 4:10 am, David C. Ullrich <[dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Fri, 19 Dec 2008 06:01:42 -0800 (PST), Mitch Harris <[maha...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:maha...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Dec 19, 6:41 am, David C. Ullrich <[dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Thu, 18 Dec 2008 06:51:34 -0800 (PST), Mitch Harris <[maha...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:maha...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Dec 18, 7:45 am, David C. Ullrich <[dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dullr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Excellent summary, thanks.

That almost worked. How did you –do– that?

??? I just quoted what he wrote. Of course I snipped a few irrelevant words here and there...

I thought it might have been an automatic text scrambler that somehow preserves grammar (or lack thereof) or something like dissociated–press. Selective snipping is rather manipulative.

You unaware of the historical precedent – JJ has this habit of taking a moderately complex argument and snipping all but the last sentence, thereby hiding the entire point.

Re: A summary of my position so far.

– yes, I must be unaware of it. Now that you mention it, I find his choice of context to quote bizarre.

– whatever JJ is doing, your response was, well, was sorta bizarre in its own way. Not a parody, but purposefully manipulating his words and presenting them as though he had actually said them. I've never seen that before.

Mitch

Mitch

.