

Re: A summary of my position so far.

## Re: A summary of my position so far.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2008-12/msg00934.html>

---

- *From:* Herbert Newman <[nomail@invalid](mailto:nomail@invalid)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 21 Dec 2008 05:48:03 +0100
- 

On Sat, 20 Dec 2008 19:22:02 -0800 (PST) Mitch Harris wrote:

– whatever JJ is doing, your response was, well, was sorta bizarre in its own way.

I'd call it appropriate. Enough is enough!

On the other hand, David C. Ullrich actually DID present an "excellent summary" of things JJ stated: equally incomprehensible. :-)

Finally, I'd like to indicate that digits and symbols, as numbers, are not necessarily odd or even, finite or infinite, discrete or non-discrete, ordered or not ordered. Whether such descriptions are of properties which arise as a consequence of digits being expressed in particular applications (such as a sequence or calculus), or whether these are not properties but instead refer to stand-alone ontological elements I have not entirely determined. But logically it looks like the latter. For, regarding the former, we note that there is no substantive description of an element that is distinguishable from its properties; which would seem to rule this option out.

Herb

.