

Re: Who uses clapack?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math.num-analysis/2004-12/0341.html>

From: Jentje Goslinga (goslinga_at_telus.net)

Date: 12/11/04

Date: Sat, 11 Dec 2004 06:27:37 GMT

Ron Shepard wrote:

> In article <41BA43C3.3040502@telus.net>,

> Jentje Goslinga <goslinga@telus.net> wrote:

>

>

>>>The issue here is scaling the elements of a vector by a scalar. I
>>>don't think it matters if that scalar is stored as a 64-bit or as an
>>>extended 80-bit result, the result, the vector of 64-bit values,
>>>will be the same. In other words, those 16-bits are not used (and
>>>cannot be used) in either case.

>>

>>Not quite so, there are four operations: (1) pulling each
>>element of the array through the processor, squaring and
>>adding the result to the accumulator, (2) taking the square
>>root of the accumulator, (3) dividing the result into unity
>>and (4) loading the scale factor and pulling each element
>>of the vector through the processor once more and scaling
>>it. Use of traditional BLAS interposes a mandatory memory save
>>between (2) and (3)

>

>

> First, the `dnrm2()` function is not required to store the internal
> result of the accumulation in 64-bit form. It is all internal to
> the `dnrm2()` function with no external storage required for the
> intermediate square root. Your `dnrm2()` function may or may not do
> the `sqrt()` in extended precision, but it is not forced to truncate
> by the design of the BLAS.

>

> Even the reference fortran version of the `dnrm2()` function does not
> do a simple dot product to compute the vector norm, it accumulates
> into several scalar values based on the magnitude of the individual
> elements. The goal of this approach is to reduce the effect of
> roundoff error of the additions.

>