

Re: DDASPK problem

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math.num-analysis/2005-08/msg00208.html>

- *From:* "Max" <bilbo@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 31 Aug 2005 02:43:56 GMT
-

"bv" <bvoh@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:4314AF45.A5818871@xxxxxxxxxxxx

> Konstantinos Tseronis wrote:

>>

>> I forgot to mention that I am using Intel Fortran Compiler 8.

>

> Oops, a crucial detail, you're using a work-in-progress compiler
> unsuitable for serious work, unless living dangerously is your thing. In
> short, your problem is likely with the compiler rather than with a
> reasonably robust, albeit far from perfect, "daspk" code.

>

> ----

> bv

>

> Applied Algorithms <http://sdynamix.com>

>

Is Intel Version 9 better? As a Digital Visual Fortran user I've been e-mailed an attractive upgrade offer (download, so no CD, no printed documentation), as far as price goes – but if it's a retrograde step then I'll stay with DVF until .NET or Linux support becomes more pressing.

.

- *References:*

- ◆ **[Re: DDASPK problem](#)**

- ◇ *From:* bv

- Prev by Date: **[Re: What 3rd party libraries do you use most?](#)**

- Next by Date: **[Re: Root condition for polynomials](#)**

- Previous by thread: **[Re: DDASPK problem](#)**

- Index(es):

- ◆ **[Date](#)**

- ◆ **[Thread](#)**