

## Re: interpolation w/ cubic convolution kernel: boundary treatment?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math.num-analysis/2007-04/msg00212.html>

---

- *From:* Markus <[iandjohn@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:iandjohn@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 26 Apr 2007 15:30:35 -0700
- 

This same topic has been posted just before in another thread. I am sorry for this, but it happened due to the very slow response of Google: It took Google 20 hours to display my message after I had posted it. If you want to reply, please reply to the other thread "cubic convolution interpolation at boundaries?"

Markus

On Apr 26, 2:18 am, Thomas Kluge <[thomas.kl...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:thomas.kl...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Hi,

I am using the symmetric cubic convolution kernel ("Catmull-Rom splines") to interpolate data over a limited range in a variable  $x$ . For the interpolation I am using typically 10 nodes which are equidistant in  $x$ . Example: The interpolated function between nodes 4 and 5 is computed based on the data points at nodes 3,4,5,6. A nice property of the Catmull-Rom splines is that I get a continuous 1st derivative everywhere.

My question is now: how should I treat the ranges near the boundary?

With 10 nodes, how should I interpolate the data between node 9 and 10? So far I am using linear interpolation here – but this is conceptually ugly (and it's not precise, although the latter is not my biggest problem since I want a nice solution).

I am especially worried that in my current approach the interpolated function has no continuous 1st derivative at node 9.

Is there a solution, in which I could use e.g. a non-symmetric convolution kernel to interpolate between nodes 9 and 10, based on the nodes 8,9,10 or maybe 7,8,9,10 – in a way that I get a continuous 1st derivative everywhere? I have not found any discussion about the boundary-treatment

Re: interpolation w/ cubic convolution kernel: boundary treatment?

in the literature (and neither on the Google-wide web). In image processing sometimes people mirror the image at the boundaries (i.e. they would introduce a hypothetical 11th node for which the data value is set equal to the data at the 9th node and then interpolate using the data points at nodes 8,9,10,11) – but this would not work in my case.