

Re: KKT constraint preconditioner

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math.num-analysis/2008-04/msg00428.html>

- *From:* Gordon Sande <g.sande@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 23 Apr 2008 14:49:31 GMT
-

On 2008-04-23 11:39:30 -0300, laverneth@xxxxxxxxxxxx said:

On Apr 23, 4:11 pm, toni.lass...@xxxxxxxxxx (Toni Lassila) wrote:

On Wed, 23 Apr 2008 06:31:08 -0700 (PDT), lavern...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

I want to solve a full KKT system of the form
[A C]
[CT 0]
with A is a n,n matrix, and C is a n,m matrix representing m
constraints on the n primal variables,
and CT is its transpose.

I am trying to solve this method with an iterative method
(namely
BiCGSTAB), and thus I need a good preconditioner.
Often, the constraint preconditioner is described in the
litterature
and I tried to implement it.
It involves approximate solves with the m,m system $CT * C$. I
tried to
use a SAINV factorization on that system which has the
great advantage
to avoid forming the matrix $CT * C$ explicitly (see 1) for
reference).

However, in my case C has not full column rank and $Ct * C$ is
therefore
indefinite and SAINV factorization breaks down because of
exactly zero
pivots. Has anyone an idea to avoid such zero pivots in the
factorization ? Should I use an other factorization ?

Re: KKT constraint preconditioner

Why do you have linearly dependent constraints?

I have intersecting interfaces with constraints on dofs on each side of those interfaces (sliding contact for instance)

Thus at the location where those interfaces cross, some constraints are linearly dependant from other.

At first sight, considering the way I impose constraints in my models, it seems complicated to avoid such redundancy.

Having a problem generate redundant constraints that are awkward, or worse, to notice is not unusual. That is why there is usually a discussion in LP books on how to ensure full rank with articial variables. It is often a minor trick early in the book and never mentioned again although clearly useful to know.

Such things are more the domain of sci.op–research. Issues of formulation will often get practical comments there.