

Re: Maple's "fd" crash code, and FORTRAN in Maple

Re: Maple's "fd" crash code, and FORTRAN in Maple

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math.symbolic/2005-06/msg00086.html>

- *From:* Christopher Creutzig <christopher@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 27 Jun 2005 11:20:22 +0200
-

Craig Carey wrote:

On Wed, 22 Jun 2005 15:25:12 +0200, Christopher Creutzig <christopher@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Craig Carey wrote:

A MuPad person (Mr Christopher Creutzig), said earlier at sci.math.symbolic, that some of Maple was in FORTRAN.

Did I? I can't remember knowing or saying something to this end, and groups.google.com only turns up some speculation of mine in 2003 that Maple *might* include some Fortran, which was based on the cooperation with NAG.

I guess I got the quote right. Anyway the percentage ws not indicated.

Could you point me to where I said something like this?

Can you get the percentage of FORTRAN in Maple ?. Why doesn't Maplesoft

No, and I don't care.

Re: Maple's "fd" crash code, and FORTRAN in Maple

Re: Maple's "fd" crash code, and FORTRAN in Maple

monitor the sci.math.symbolic group and visit and assert that Maple does not contain FORTRAN?.

Why should they care?

crash to appear. Excessive recursion can be caused by a bug and not only by the input data.

It's definitely a bug. I was referring to the uninformative message, which is not Maple's fault.

Windows 2003 does not produce 2 hex numbers and memory runs low. So Maple too, can catch the exception and produce something better than 2 hexadecimal numbers.

The stack size obviously is different.

Christopher

.