

Re: A free copy of Maple or a frre maple webservre

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math.symbolic/2008-05/msg00222.html>

- *From:* Dave <foo@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 13 May 2008 02:29:24 +0100
-

2 wrote:

I consider Maple to be verified as far as my own work is concerned. My experience with one scientific journal suggests that they consider Maple to be verified as far as my own work is concerned. I have no idea if this would work with Sage but you are welcome to try.

2

I think from what you say, both you and the reviewers have a high confidence that Maple is giving correct results. I don't believe you should claim the results have been verified simply on the basis Maple gave them.

An online dictionary:

<http://www.thefreedictionary.com/verified>

give a definition for verified:

- 1) To prove the truth of by presentation of evidence or testimony; substantiate.
- 2) To determine or test the truth or accuracy of, as by comparison, investigation, or reference: experiments that verified the hypothesis.

The second definition says "To determine or test the truth or accuracy of, as by comparison". I think comparing to Sage would be reasonable verification.

.