

Re: .999... ?= 1

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-06/1879.html>

From: Robin Chapman (*rjc_at_ivorynospamtower.freemove.co.uk*)

Date: 06/10/04

Date: Thu, 10 Jun 2004 11:47:22 +0100

Eckard Blumschein wrote:

> *Robin Chapman wrote:*

>> *Eckard Blumschein wrote:*

>>

>>

>>> *I apologize for underestimating you. Well: Cauchy, Dedekind, and*

>>> *Weierstrass led to the present tenets preferenig just zero as a number.*

>>

>>

>> *Actually all of them preferred not just zero to be numbers.*

>

>

> *Yes, unfortunately but understandably.*

Unfortunate? Would it be fortunate for zero to be the only number?

--

Robin Chapman, www.maths.ex.ac.uk/~rjc/rjc.html

"Lacan, Jacques, 79, 91-92; mistakes his penis for a square root, 88-9"

Francis Wheen, [_How Mumbo-Jumbo Conquered the World_](#)