

Re: Comments needed for attempted proof of a simple integral

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-06/3308.html>

From: Chairman of the Ozzy Osbourne Appreciation Society (*mathgeek42_at_hotmail.com*)

Date: 06/16/04

Date: Tue, 15 Jun 2004 22:02:06 -0400

[adding sci.math for wider audience]

No more comments?

I'm self studying through Tom Apostol's Calculus and it's hard to know if I'm on the right track or if I've gone off track without feedback. Usenet is currently my only means of getting feedback.

Apparently there are no answer books for this text. The standard "solve X" problems aren't so difficult because standard math education has been about solving problems of that nature and I can usually verify answers to those problems with software. It's proofs that are hardest type of exercise for me to verify, and there are a lot of those in this book. I've considered switching to Spivak just for access to answers to those kinds of problems although I feel that I've already made a large enough investment into Apostol's book to finish it out, even if that means sacrificing some degree of certainty about the subject matter.

In exercise section 1.15 I'm asked to prove a few increasingly more difficult versions of the problem I posted here. Since I use a similar strategy to prove each of those that I used to prove the first, I figured that I should post that method and use the responses as a litmus test to see if it was general considered to be OK or generally considered to be NOT OK.

That's all I'm looking for, but as much as possible is welcome.

Thanks.

"Chairman of the Ozzy Osbourne Appreciation Society"
<mathgeek42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:84Cdna3KRe3Fm1LdRVn-hA@comcast.com...
> *Hi,*

>
> I'd like to get some comments on the following attempt
> at a proof, most importantly comments regarding any
> serious flaws and secondarily comments regarding
> ways to improve it.
>
> I'm asked to do the the following:
>
> If n is a positive integer, prove that:
>
> $\int_0^n \lfloor t \rfloor dt = n(n-1)/2$
>
>
> ===== background =====
>
>
> I'm given the definition of the integral from a to b of
> a step function as:
>
> $\int_a^b s(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^n [S_k * (X_k - X_{(k-1)})]$
>
> where $P = \{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ is a partition of $[a, b]$
> such that s is constant on the open subintervals of P .
>
> And Where S_k , is the constant value of $s(x)$ in the k th open
> subinterval of P .
>
> ===== end of background =====
>
> My thinking is to translate the integral into a sum, and
> then use the result of a previous excersize to show that
> the sum is equavalent to $n(n-1)/2$, but I'm uncertain if
> I'm going about this the right way.
>
>
> (1) $\int_0^n \lfloor t \rfloor dt$ from 0 to n
>
> Let $P = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ which satisfies the
> definitions above, from the definition of
> integral we have:
>
> $(2a) 0(1-0) + 1(2-1) + 2(3-2) + \dots + (n-1)(n-(n-1))$
>
> noting that the length of each open subinterval is 1,
> gives the following sum:
>
> (2) $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lfloor k \rfloor * 1$
>
> (3) As a consequence of the definition of floor, when x
> is an integer, $x = \lfloor x \rfloor$. Since each k in the sum
> up to $n-1$ is an integer, we replace $\lfloor k \rfloor$ with k .

> Also since 1 is a constant multiplier, move it outside

> of the sum (and drop it), giving:

>

> (4) $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k$

>

> (5*) since the 0th term is 0,

>

> $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k$

>

> (6) From a previous result we know that

>

> $\sum_{k=1}^n k = \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$

>

> (7) Subtracting the nth term we get a LHS that

> matches the sum in step 5*:

>

> $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k = \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} - n$

>

> $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k = \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{2n}{2}$

>

> $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k = \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$

>

> $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

>

> Which completes the proof.

>

> Thanks!

>

>

>