

Re: Factoring paper is wrong

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-06/3519.html>

From: James Harris is Nuts (nuts_at_jsh.com)

Date: 06/16/04

Date: Wed, 16 Jun 2004 17:29:48 +0000 (UTC)

On 16 Jun 2004, Quinn Tyler Jackson wrote:

> "David Einstein" <Deinst@world.std.com> wrote in message

(snip)

> <http://www.iomas.com/gina/ultrahiq/mega-society/NoesisArchive/NoesisEditors.html>

>>

>> *Please waste your time there.*

(snip)

>--

> *Quinn*

>

Is that it? You and James Harris evade answering simple questions, for this website? Is this your "closed list"? Why were you hiding it? (I've drawn my own conclusions with a cursory review.)

I'm not satisfied – only one of the "editors" is listed as a mathematician. Well, James Harris originally said:

> *I *did* send my paper to several mathematicians and tried to talk about it with various people you might say are in my circle*

So who are the several mathematicians? Or is there only one, and so both you and Harris exaggerated (or plain lied) when both of you said "several".

Dare I ask – are the "several" mathematicians all amateurs like Harris, or do any actually have mathematics degrees? Do any review mathematics papers for a living, or at least regularly? Any idiot balancing his/her checkbook can call themselves a mathematician, but that doesn't

sci.math: Re: Factoring paper is wrong

qualify them to find errors, or to judge a paper
if they find no errors.

Any other "mathematicians" in this little group
of yours, who reviewed Harris' work? Name them!

Evade, or answer. Your choice.

James Harris is Nuts(TM)