

Re: Another twin primes conjecture

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-06/4361.html>

From: Lance Lamboy (lance.lamboy_at_lamboy.nospam.com)

Date: 06/19/04

Date: Sat, 19 Jun 2004 14:52:57 -0400

On Sat, 19 Jun 2004 13:07:39 -0500, David C. Ullrich wrote:

<snip>

>

> *And then I spend months explaining that my BS is not just BS, it's
> brand new.*

I'm sure your BS is brand new.

> *The people who are saying
> it's just BS are evil – they don't care about Truth, they're just trying
> to cover their ass because they're embarrassed they can't do as well. I
> claim that my BS is much faster than qsort.*

It probably is.

<snip>

>

> *Then I finally give up on that. After spending a long time insisting
> that I've invented BS and that it's much faster than qsort, I announce
> that the speed of a sorting algorithm is not important.*

Now you are telling us that an algorithm's speed is not important?

> *I announce without
> any explanation whatever that studying BS will probably allow people to
> solve the $P = NP$ question.*

Crank.

> *I continue to insist that my BS will probably lead to a solution to P
> = NP .*

Well, let's see it. Put up or shut up.

<snip>

>

> *****

sci.math: Re: Another twin primes conjecture

>

> *David C. Ullrich*

--

Lance Lamboy

"I tell them the truth and they think it's hell." ~ Harry S. Truman