

Re: JSH: Sweep likely

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-07/3689.html>

From: Christopher J. Henrich (*chenrich_at_monmouth.com*)

Date: 07/14/04

Date: Wed, 14 Jul 2004 17:55:49 GMT

In article <874qoabz8r.fsf@phiwumbda.org>, Jesse F. Hughes <jesse@phiwumbda.org> wrote:

> *"Christopher J. Henrich" <chenrich@monmouth.com> writes:*

>

> > *The book also states that about 90% of all published papers seem to*

> > *attract almost no interest or attention, in that they are not cited by*

> > *others, or cited at most once.*

>

> *Wow. That's weird. In every article I write, I cite every previous*

> *article. I must be a stellar scholar.*

Citing every useful, previous article is the expected behavior.

So, the more often your article is cited by other people, the more valuable your article appears. However, there seem to be lots of articles that are "born to blush unseen, and waste their sweetness on the desert air."

>

> *Also, I have a very reasonable bidding system for citing the work of*

> *others. Ya'll let me know if you're interested, and I'll send you a*

> *price sheet.*

Most of the time it's not /quite/ that explicit. But, if you cite me, I'll cite you.

--

Chris Henrich

The total lack of evidence is the surest sign that the conspiracy is working.