

Re: A dead subject

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-08/0639.html>

From: Laserman (jimzotos_at_yahoo.com)

Date: 08/03/04

Date: 3 Aug 2004 06:46:48 -0700

"Poker Joker" <Poker@wi.rr.com> wrote in message news:<83DPc.8449\$ju6.899@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>...

> "Laserman" <jimzotos@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> news:57378408.0408021300.123eb5cd@posting.google.com...

> <snip>

>> *No you are incorrect, I have used case 2 to make a formula that is
>> designed to lend itself easily for computation, even easier! I have
>> made the formula easier, do you understand? All those mathematicians
>> for thousands of years solving quadratic equations in all the forms
>> you mentioned above and yet not one of them clever enough to see the
>> better formula. NOT A ONE. You people do not WANT to see it, as I
>> lay it before you plain as day. We are like Gallileo against the
>> inquisition where you try to convince me to recant and say my version
>> of the facts is not good enough because you refuse to see the light.
>> Anybody else except Herman Rubin interested in the derivation?*

>

> *You are way too clever. Now please wait 75 years before speaking
> or writing one more word so that we can absorb this astonishing
> information. We are so unworthy.*

>

> *BTW – how many subtractions are required to transform my standard
> equation into one that requires two less subtractions? Please have an
> answer ready in 2079, if you can find and count both of them.*

Your replies only show how misinformed you really are. I think that you are the one who has difficulty counting. What you call "my standard equation" is standard only because you say it is (you and millions of people like you who have no particular reason to call it "standard" they just learned to call it that). There is absolutely no mathematical reason for $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ to be considered the standard form. No reason except people say it is so without having completely thought out all the possible ramifications of the situation. As for the MYTH that you have to set the equation equal to zero in order to find the roots, I think that I have showed very nicely that it is just