

Books to read if you want to really know

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-08/2727.html>

From: Jack Sarfatti (*sarfatti_at_pacbell.net*)

Date: 08/14/04

Date: Sat, 14 Aug 2004 21:00:00 GMT

On Aug 14, 2004, at 1:52 PM, Jack Sarfatti wrote:

On May 7, 2004, at 9:31 PM, David M... wrote:

Jack

I read with great interest the breakthroughs in dark matter and dark energy. I've even taken to studying Cosmological Physics by Peacock.

With an undergraduate degree in Physics and having studied Gravitation only to the level of Misner, Thorne and Wheeler 1st Edition, what books would you recommend for me or anyone else to come up to speed on your theory.

1. The Mathematical Century by Piergiorgio Odifreddi (popular book)
2. The Road to Reality by Roger Penrose
3. Topological Quantum Numbers in Nonrelativistic Physics by David Thouless
4. A Career in Theoretical Physics by PW Anderson and his old book on Basic Notions in Condensed Matter Physics (may not be exact title)
5. Hawking's 60th edited by Gibbons on the Future of Theoretical Physics and Cosmology
6. Templeton tribute to Wheeler on Science and Ultimate Reality
7. Universe in a Helium Droplet by G. E. Volovik
8. Unconventional Flying Objects by Paul Hill
9. Lorentzian Wormholes by Matt Visser
10. The Undivided Universe David Bohm and Basil Hiley

Then on the bizarre side

sci.math: Books to read if you want to really know

11. Techgnosis by Erik Davis
12. My three books on Amazon: Destiny Matrix, Space–Time and Beyond II, Super Cosmos
13. Cosmic Trigger by Robert Anton Wilson
14. Mind Wars by Ron Mc Rae who worked for Jack Anderson
15. The Hunt for Zero Point by Nick Cook from Jane's Defence Weekly
16. Morning of the Magicians by Pauwels and Bergier
17. URI by Andrija Puharich
18. Hal Puthoff's paper on SRI RV experiments and books by Russell Targ.
19. Remote Viewers: The Secret History of America's Psychic Spies by James Schnabel
20. Fastwalker by Jacques Vallee and Tract Torme
21. Holy Blood, Holy Grail by Lincoln & Biagent? & Da Vinci Code D. Brown
23. The Star Gate Conspiracy by Pincknett & Prince
24. Timescape by Greg Benford
25. VALIS by P.K. Dick

would be a good beginning

On Aug 14, 2004, at 7:26 AM, Dan Smith wrote:

Jack,

Now we're talking.

'Matrix' is too good a name to waste on a movie. Is it not the cosmic potency or womb? It is our Source.

Self–organization is another matter. The cosmic Self is more male oriented, and so the primordial dialectic is between the 'zodiacal Anthropos' & Matrix. No? Anthropos need not be humanoid, it is zoological, but the 'X–factor' is key.

Anthropos becomes Creator by default. Creation is a bit like Tom Sawyer's whitewash job. It was mostly jawboning on Tom's part. Tom is a prototype for X.

sci.math: Books to read if you want to really know

All worlds are created virtual. They become less so as they become habituated. 'In my father's house are many mansions.' The Earth happens to be the most habituated of these worlds, being as it is the best possible world, it is the most popular and thereby the most 'real' or spiritually dense/opaque. There is a logical circle here: it is popular because it is so 'real'.

But all good stories must end, and that is what we have to contend with. What is the best possible ending for the best possible world? I have ideas about this, but, like Tom, I prefer to decentralize the work load.

Dan

'best possible world'

<http://home.comcast.net/~dantsmith>

-----Original Message-----

From: Jack Sarfatti [mailto:sarfatti@pacbell.net]

Sent: Friday, August 13, 2004 11:55 PM

To: Dan Smith

Subject: Re: eschaton focus group

PS Real metric engineering of warpdrives and wormholes with time travel to the past means that in a deep sense reality is closer to The Matrix movie concept than not. The discovery of dark energy and dark matter means that the parallel worlds are mostly virtual in both key senses of the word as "off-mass-shell" and as an emergent self-organizing macro-quantum holodeck display from a micro-quantum bit substratum where the algorithms adapt.

On Aug 13, 2004, at 8:32 PM, Jack Sarfatti wrote:

On Aug 13, 2004, at 2:44 PM, Dan Smith wrote:

Jack, et al.,

It does appear that Jack, as usual, is game for most anything. Now we'll have to see about the rest. The less we have to bother Ron about issues of authorization, the better off we'll be, considering the prime directive and all that.

A big issue is voluntarism, given that a salient characteristic of us creatures is our alleged free will. How does this jibe with the idea of a salvation economy, i.e. cosmic plan?

I imagine that we are like the actors in a play, we can only get away with

so much ad-libbing, and since we don't know the script, we hardly know what we get away with.

It's Jazz! Improvise. However in my own timeline I detect a clear weird pattern.

WRT the eschaton, it can only be marginally voluntary, while being maximally participatory.

It does appear that most of you, like Paul Allen, would not mind participating in a rapture like exercise of 'loosing' our earthly chains.

What's that about Paul Allen?

Most of us, however, are reluctant to cut short our appointed sojourn on Earth. We are happy to hire astronauts to set up bases on Mars. It is partly a question of carrot vs. stick. What are the trade-offs between Earth and Elsewhere? There are many variables and unknowns to consider.

Those saucers can go a lot further than Mars in quick time without propellants.

This issue becomes more problematic if the likely destination is not the planet next door, but the universe next door.

But is such concern not academic at this point, i.e. very premature? Maybe yes, maybe no.

No, since The Visitors have been here for a long time according to Scott Littleton's research and according to my own contact.

It depends on the state of the 'technology'. The working assumption for most of us is that the technology already exists, but is presently unavailable. We have a simple choice: physical engineering or social engineering. I am hardly alone in suggesting the latter. My reasoning is both orthodox and unorthodox.

Soviets tried social engineering. The technology is becoming fast available with some bumps in the road.

I suggest that the primary problem is choreography.

Chorus line!

The Earth was constructed on the basis of a planned obsolescence. It was never intended to be our permanent home. Moving day may be just around the corner.

Obviously. Childhood's End.

Almost every society has been founded on the assumption of an ultimate transience. Thus have we been prepared for this inevitability.

Up to this point, a primary portal has been the grave: it's low tech, and universally affordable. I know that Jack is hoping to move up–market.

Remember Rabbi Yeshua's Promise.

We then have the issue of transplanting vs. transmigration. Up to this point the choreography has favored the individual transmigration via natural attrition. But, if Jack and I are right, we soon begin the transition to a transplanting scenario, via craft and physical portals. We move from the heaven's gate scenario to the stargate scenario. This will be necessary if we are to achieve an historical closure relative to our earthly experience.

Yes, that's what metric engineering is all about. Isn't it odd that Hal Puthoff has never given a clear definition what he means by "metric engineering" and what its applications are?

The point is that our future, for the most part, lies elsewhere. Deep ecologists may wish to remain behind, but their abode will be transformed both physically and metaphysically. In either case, life will be radically altered. For most of us it will be 'moveon.org'.

Correct. Have brains will travel – that's Fred Alan Wolf's Marching Song. BTW I just saw Gary Zukav's updated introduction to Dancing Wu Li Masters. The man is certifiably mad as well as boring. My biggest mistake was inviting both him and David Finkelstein to Esalen in January 1976,

sci.math: Books to read if you want to really know

The EFG now has only to help choreograph the early evacuation phase.

What

would be our best possible evacuation plan?

Learn how the saucers work, how consciousness is generated and how to cancel out Hawking's time travel barrier from infinite blue shift to infinite red shift – a mere fizzle when we engage time warp to the past.

Our success in this regard

would be expected to hasten the turnover of the relevant technology.

It's happening.

At the outset I would strongly recommend that Jack & Co. should continue their promethean quest to steal the 'dark energy' fire from the gods.

<http://stardrive.org/cartoon/MagicBean.html>

But

there is no reason why not, and good reasons why we might choose to cooperate in this two-pronged endeavor to participate in our cosmic destiny.

In the meantime, we may let the counter-intelligence chips fall where they may.

The Darling Nano Buds of May. Or did you mean Chipman?

PS typo correction to my formula earlier today

$(\text{Entropy of Universe})/k_B = (\text{Area of World Hologram})/4(\text{Quantum of Area})$

$= \log[1 + \sqrt{(\text{Quantum of Area})R(t)^2}] ?$

This obviously does not get very large.

Back from my titanium implant for artificial tooth transceiver to the ET's from J. Edgar Hoova and The Nine provided I can find the correct exotic vacuum bag. :-)

Do I speak in riddles? Surely I jest.

<http://qedcorp.com/London/finalepoint.mp3>