

## Re: Uncountable sets in CZF?

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-09/0204.html>

---

**From:** David McAnally (*D.McAnally\_at\_i'm\_a\_gnu.uq.net.au*)

**Date:** 09/01/04

Date: 1 Sep 2004 04:15:16 GMT

raf@tiki-lounge.com (Ross A. Finlayson) writes:

<snip>

>By "plain set theory" I meant theory where the only primary objects  
>were sets as opposed to a theory where numbers are primary objects.

ZF and ZFC are therefore what you call "plain set theories". In ZF and ZFC, numbers are defined AS SETS. I wonder where you got the erroneous idea that numbers were primary objects in ZF and ZFC???

A natural number is defined to be either the empty set or a successor ordinal whose elements are all either empty or successor ordinals, a set  $n$  is a natural number if

$n$  is empty or [ $n$  is a successor ordinal and for all  $m$  in  $n$  ( $m$  is empty or  $m$  is a successor ordinal)].

Equivalently, a natural number is an element of the smallest limit ordinal. The smallest limit ordinal  $\omega$  is a model of Peano's Axioms with 0 being given by the empty set and the successor function being given by  $S(x) = x \cup \{x\}$ , where for sets  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \cup B$  denotes the union of  $A$  and  $B$ . Addition on  $\mathbb{N}$  (the set of natural numbers, i.e.  $\omega$ ) is defined by recursion by

$$m + 0 = m,$$

$$m + S(n) = S(m + n).$$

Multiplication on  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by recursion by

$$m \cdot 0 = 0,$$

$$m \cdot S(n) = m \cdot n + m.$$

Define the relation  $\equiv$  on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  by  $(a,b) \equiv (c,d)$  iff  $a + d = b + c$ , then  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\mathbb{Z} = (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})/\equiv$ , and define an integer to be an element of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e. an integer is an

equivalence class in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , and therefore a subset of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Addition is defined on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by

$$[(a,b)] + [(c,d)] = [(a + c, b + d)].$$

Multiplication is defined on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by

$$[(a,b)].[(c,d)] = [(a.c + b.d, a.d + b.c)].$$

Both addition and multiplication on  $\mathbb{Z}$  are well-defined.  $\mathbb{N}$  is embedded in  $\mathbb{Z}$  by mapping  $m$  to  $[(m,0)]$ .

Define the relation  $\equiv$  on  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})$ , where  $0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  is identified with  $0$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  by the embedding, by  $(a,b) \equiv (c,d)$  iff  $a.d = b.c$ , then  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})$ . Define  $\mathbb{Q} = (\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})) / \equiv$ , and define a rational number to be an element of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. a rational number is an equivalence class in  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})$ , and therefore a subset of  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})$ . Addition on  $\mathbb{Q}$  is defined by

$$[(a,b)] + [(c,d)] = [(a.d + b.c, b.d)].$$

Multiplication on  $\mathbb{Q}$  is defined by

$$[(a,b)]$$